

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,398	07/14/2001	Nace Layadi	120747/075903.001	3744
29391 7:	590 02/11/2003			
BEUSSE, BROWNLEE, BOWDOIN & WOLTER, P. A. 390 NORTH ORANGE AVENUE SUITE 2500			EXAMINER	
			MAI, ANH D	
ORLANDO, FI	T. 32801			
011211120,12 02001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	
			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>,</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Offic Action Summan	09/905,398	LAYADI ET AL.			
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Anh D. Mai	2814			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A	lovember 2002 .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7 and 19-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7 and 19-21</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
.S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment

1. Amendment filed November 25, 2002 has been entered as Paper No. 8. Claims 6 and 8-18 have been canceled. Claims 1-3 and 5 have been amended. Claims 19-21 have been added Claims 1-5, 7 and 19-21 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Konecni et al., (U.S. Patent No. 6,069,072).

With respect to claim 19, Konecni teaches semiconductor structure as claimed including: a substrate layer;

- a dielectric layer disposed over the substrate layer ad having a via formed therein;
 a polish stop layer comprising titanium nitride alloyed with carbon deposited over the dielectric layer and extending into the via;
 - a metal layer deposited over the polish stop layer and filling the via; and wherein the polish stop layer has a hardness. (See col. 7).

Note that, the hardness which is 30 to 35 percent greater than the hardness of the titanium nitride is an inherent characteristic of the titanium nitride alloyed with carbon (TiCN) layer of Konecni.

Art Unit: 2814

Further, since Konecni used titanium nitride alloyed with carbon (TiCN) as a barrier layer over the via and lining the opening, therefore, the titanium nitride alloyed with carbon (TiCN) of Konecni is also function as protecting the dielectric layer from CMP process used to remove a portion of the metal layer deposited outside of the via as well.

With respect to claim 20, the percentage weight of carbon in the TiCN layer of Konecni is within the claimed range.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

3. Claims 1-5, 7 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamashita et al., (JP. Patent No. 08-107148) in view of Meikle et al., (U.S. Patent No. 5,231,306) (all cited previously).

With respect to claim 1, Yamashita teaches a semiconductor device substantially as claimed including:

- a substrate (21) having a device feature formed thereon;
- a dielectric layer (28) disposed over the substrate (21) and device feature and having at least one contact hole (29) formed therein;
- a polish stop layer (30) disposed over the dielectric layer (28) and extending within the contact hole (29);

Art Unit: 2814

a layer of metal (31) disposed over the polish stop layer (30) within the contact hole (29) and formed a plug; and

wherein the polish stop layer (30) comprises titanium nitride (TiN). (See Fig. 9).

Thus, Yamashita is shown to teach all the features of the claim with the exception of using TiAlN for the polish stop layer (30).

However, Meikle teaches that TiAlN are known in the art to be used in place of TiN in semiconductor devices.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to form the polish stop layer (31) of Yamashita comprises TiAlN as taught by Meikle because TiAlN material is more resistant to diffusion than TiN. (See abstract).

With respect to claim 2, the semiconductor device of Yamashita further includes a metal coating (27) under the dielectric layer (28), the metal coating (27) comprises titanium nitride.

Note that, as discussed previously, in view of Meikle, metal compound of titanium nitride and aluminum (TiAlN) can be used in place of the TiN layer (27).

With respect to claim 3, the dielectric layer (28) of Yamashita comprises SiO₂.

With respect to claim 4, the metal coating (27) of Yamashita comprises an ARC.

With respect to claims 5 and 7, the barrier layer of Meikle comprises TiAlN and appears to have aluminum percentage weight as claimed.

Art Unit: 2814

With respect to claim 21, the claimed structure appear to be an intermediate structure. Insofar as the intermediate structure is concerned, Yamashita teaches a semiconductor device substantially as claimed including:

a metal layer (25) disposed on a substrate (21);

a barrier layer (27) disposed on the metal layer (25);

a dielectric layer (28) disposed on the barrier layer (27);

a patterned layer of photoresist disposed on the dielectric layer exposing a selected portion of the dielectric layer (28);

wherein the barrier layer (27) function as an etch stop layer. (See Fig. 6).

Thus, Yamashita is shown to teach all the features of the claim with the exception of using TiAlN for the barrier layer (27).

However, Meikle teaches that titanium aluminum nitride (TiAlN) are known in the art to be used in place of barrier layer (TiN) in semiconductor devices.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to form the barrier layer (27) of Yamashita comprises titanium aluminum nitride (TiAlN) as taught by Meikle because TiAlN material is more resistant to diffusion than TiN. (See abstract).

Note that, the barrier layer (27) of Yamashita, in view of Meikle, is function as an etch stop layer, thus, upon removal of the selected portion of the dielectric layer (28), the barrier layer (27) prevents the etching process from compromising the underlying metal layer.

Art Unit: 2814

With respect to the patterned photoresist layer, the formation of the patterned photoresist is well known in the art. (See S. Wolf et al. *Silicon Processing for the VLSI Era*. Vol. 1, pp. 407-409).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to all claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh D. Mai whose telephone number is (703) 305-0575. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00PM.

Art Unit: 2814

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (703) 308-4918. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

A.M February 5, 2003

SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7

TECHNOLOGIC LAND.